# STATE PERSONNEL BOARD CALENDAR



**FEBRUARY 23, 2005** 

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

#### State of California

#### Memorandum

**DATE:** February 11, 2005

**TO:** ALL INTERESTED PARTIES

FROM: STATE PERSONNEL BOARD - Appeals Division

SUBJECT: Notice and Agenda for the February 23, 2005, meeting of the State

Personnel Board.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 23, 2005, at the office of the State Personnel Board, located at 801 Capitol Mall, Room 150, Sacramento, California, the State Personnel Board will hold its regularly scheduled meeting. Pursuant to Government Code section 11123, a teleconference location may be conducted for this meeting at 320 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Angeles, CA.

The attached Agenda provides a brief description of each item to be considered and lists the date and approximate time for discussion of the item.

Also noted is whether the item will be considered in closed or public session. Closed sessions are closed to members of the public. All discussions held in public sessions are open to those interested in attending. Interested members of the public who wish to address the Board on a public session item may request the opportunity to do so.

Should you wish to obtain a copy of any of the items considered in the public sessions for the January 25, 2005, meeting, please contact staff in the Secretariat's Office, State Personnel Board, 801 Capitol Mall, MS 22, Sacramento, CA 95814 or by calling (916) 653-0429 or TDD (916) 654-2360, or the Internet at:

http://www.spb.ca.gov/calendar.htm

Should you have any questions regarding this Notice and Agenda, please contact staff in the Secretariat's Office at the address or telephone numbers above.

S. RODRIGUEZ Secretariat's Office

Attachment

#### CALIFORNIA STATE PERSONNEL BOARD MEETING<sup>1</sup>

801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California

<u>Teleconference</u> 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street<sup>2</sup>, Suite 620 Los Angeles, California

**Public Session Location** 801 Capitol Mall, Room 150 Sacramento, California

**Closed Session Location** 801 Capitol Mall, Room 141 Sacramento, California

**MID-MONTH BOARD MEETING - FEBRUARY 23, 2005** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sign Language Interpreter will be provided for Board Meeting upon request - contact Secretariat at

<sup>(916) 653-0429,</sup> or CALNET 453-0429, TDD (916) 654-2360.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11123, a teleconference location may be conducted for this meeting at 320 W. 4th Street, Los Angeles, CA.

#### MID-MONTH BOARD MEETING AGENDA<sup>3</sup>

#### **FEBRUARY 23, 2005**

#### PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

(9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.)

- 1. ROLL CALL
- 2. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER Floyd D. Shimomura
- 3. REPORT OF THE CHIEF COUNSEL Elise Rose
- 4. NEW BUSINESS
- 5. REPORT ON LEGISLATION Sherry Hicks

#### **CLOSED SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD**

(10:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.)

6. DELIBERATION ON ADVERSE ACTIONS, DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS, AND OTHER PROPOSED DECISIONS SUBMITTED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Deliberations on matters submitted at prior hearing; on proposed, rejected, remanded, and submitted decisions; petitions for rehearing; and other matters related to cases heard by administrative law judges of the State Personnel Board or by the Board itself. [Government Code sections 11126 (d), and 18653 (2).]

#### 7. PENDING LITIGATION

Conference with legal counsel to confer with and receive advice regarding pending litigation when discussion in open session would be prejudicial. [Government Code sections 11126(e)(1) and 18653.]

<u>State Personnel Board v. Department of Personnel Administration</u>, California Supreme Court Case No. S119498.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Agenda for the Board can be obtained at the following internet address: http://www.spb.ca.gov/calendar.htm

#### Agenda - Page 3 February 23, 2005

<u>State Personnel Board v. California State Employees Association</u>, California Supreme Court Case No. S122058.

<u>Connerly v. State Personnel Board</u>, California Supreme Court Case No. S125502.

International Union of Operating Engineers v. State Personnel Board, Public Employment Relations Board (PERB) Case No. SA-CE-1295-S.

<u>State Compensation Ins. Fund v. State Personnel Board/CSEA</u>, Sacramento Superior Court No. 04CS00049.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE LEGISLATURE

Deliberations on recommendations to the Legislature. [Government Code section 18653.]

#### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNOR

Deliberations on recommendations to the Governor. [Government Code section 18653.]

# 10. EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENTS, DISCIPLINARY MATTERS, AND OTHER APPEALS

Deliberations to consider matter submitted at prior hearing. [Government Code sections 11126 (d), 18653.]

#### **PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD**

(11:00 a.m. onwards)

# 11. DISCUSSION OF COMING BOARD MEETING SCHEDULE OF MARCH 8-9, 2005, IN SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

#### **BOARD ACTIONS**

#### 12. ACTION ON SUBMITTED ITEMS

These items have been taken under submission by the State Personnel Board at a prior meeting and may be before the Board for a vote at this meeting. This list does not include evidentiary cases, as those cases are listed separately by category on this agenda under Evidentiary Cases.

(See Agenda - Page 15)

#### 13. EVIDENTIARY CASES

The Board Administrative Law Judges conduct evidentiary hearings in appeals that include, but are not limited to, adverse actions, medical terminations, demotions, discrimination, reasonable accommodations, and whistleblower complaints.

#### A. BOARD CASES SUBMITTED

These items have been taken under submission by the State Personnel Board at a prior meeting. Cases that are before the Board for vote will be provided under separate cover.

#### DANNY BOYD, CASE NO. 03-1537PA

Appeal from dismissal Youth Correctional Officer Department of Youth Authority

#### **JENNIFER CADY, CASE NO. 03-3390EA**

Appeal from denial of request for reasonable accommodation Deputy Attorney General IV Department of Justice

#### SHARON COHEN, CASE NO. 03-3389EA

Appeal from denial of request for reasonable accommodation Deputy Attorney General IV Department of Justice

#### JOHN A. CRUZ, CASE NO. 04-1376A

Appeal from 60-calendar-days suspension Automotive Equipment Operator I California Department of Veterans Affairs

#### **NESSLIN CRUZ, CASE NO. 03-1854A**

Appeal from ten-work-days suspension Employment Program Representative (Permanent/Intermittent) Employment Development Department

#### **JOHN FLORES, CASE NO. 03-2588EA**

Appeal of retaliation Hospital Peace Officer I Department of Mental Health

#### HAJI JAMEEL, CASE NO. 04-0330A

Appeal from dismissal Supervising Transportation Engineer California Public Utilities Commission

#### **JOE W. JORDAN, CASE NO. 04-0393A**

Appeal from dismissal Youth Correctional Counselor Department of Youth Authority

#### SAMUEL SWEENEY, CASE NO. 04-0794A

Appeal from 20-calendar-days suspension Correctional Officer California Institution for Men – Chico Department of Corrections

#### B. <u>CASES PENDING</u>

#### **Oral Arguments**

These cases are on calendar to be argued at this meeting or to be considered by the Board in closed session based on written arguments submitted by the parties.

None

#### C. <u>CHIEF COUNSEL RESOLUTIONS</u>

#### None

#### **Court Remands**

These cases have been remanded to the Board by the court for further Board action.

#### None

#### **Stipulations**

These stipulations have been submitted to the Board for Board approval, pursuant to Government Code, section 18681.

#### None

#### D. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S (ALJ) PROPOSED DECISIONS</u>

#### **Proposed Decisions**

These are ALJ proposed decisions submitted to the Board for the first time.

#### JIM ALOMARI, CASE NO. 04-2734

Appeal from Official Reprimand Correctional Sergeant Department of Corrections

#### **JESUS FLORES, CASE NO. 04-1662**

Appeal from suspension for 25 workdays Groundsworker California State University, Los Angeles

#### MARY C. FORD, CASE NO. 04-0325

Appeal from 15 days' suspension Correctional Officer Department of Corrections

#### PAUL FUENTES, CASE NO. 04-2252

Appeal from ten percent reduction in salary for twelve months Correctional Officer Department of Corrections

#### **JONADAB HERRERA, CASE NO. 04-2246**

Appeal from five working days' suspension Custodian San Jose State University, San Jose

#### **DENNIS KROMANN, CASE NO. 04-1747E**

Appeal from discrimination and retaliation complaint while seeking permissive reinstatement
Medical Technical Assistant (Correctional Facility)
Department of Corrections

#### CAMERON LANE, CASE NO. 04-1859

Appeal from formal reprimand Correctional Officer Department of Corrections

#### Agenda - Page 7 February 23, 2005

#### **APRIL NICHOLS, CASE NO. 04-1955E**

Appeal from denial of request for reasonable accommodation Employment Program Representative Employment Development Department

#### HENDRICKS ONWUAZO, CASE NOS. 02-0016 and 02-1087E

Appeal from 15 working days suspension Transportation Engineer (Civil) Department of Transportation

#### **GEORGE SMITH-LEGERE, CASE NO. 04-1504**

Appeal from suspension for 30 workdays Correctional Sergeant Department of Corrections

#### CHARLES VILLATORO, CASE NO. 04-2039

Appeal from five percent reduction in salary for six months Correctional Officer Department of Corrections

#### **AUDREY S. WHITMAN, CASE NO. 04-0880**

Appeal from 60 working days' suspension Correctional Officer Department of Corrections

#### **Proposed Decisions Taken Under Submission At Prior Meeting**

These are ALJ proposed decisions taken under submission at a prior Board meeting, for lack of majority vote or other reason.

#### None

#### **Proposed Decisions After Board Remand**

None

#### **Proposed Decisions After SPB Arbitration**

#### **MICHAEL GLANVILLE, CASE NO. 04-2539**

Five-day suspension CHP Officer California Highway Patrol

AND

**JAMES HENDRIX, CASE NO. 04-2538** 

Five-day suspension CHP Officer California Highway Patrol

#### E. <u>PETITIONS FOR REHEARING</u>

#### **ALJ Proposed Decisions Adopted By The Board**

The Board will vote to grant or deny a petition for rehearing filed by one or both parties, regarding a case already decided by the Board.

#### ARTHUR RIVERA, CASE NO. 04-1278PA

Appeal from dismissal
Correctional Supervising Cook
North Kern State Prison
Department of Corrections
Petition for rehearing filed by appellant to be granted or denied.

#### **Whistleblower Notice of Findings**

The Board will vote to grant or deny a petition for rehearing filed by one or both parties, regarding a Notice of Findings issued by the Executive Officer under Government Code, section 19682 et seq. and Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 56 et seq.

#### None

#### F. PENDING BOARD REVIEW

These cases are pending preparation of transcripts, briefs, or the setting of oral argument before the Board.

#### None

# 14. RESOLUTION EXTENDING TIME UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 EXTENSION

(See Agenda - Pages 16-17)

#### 15. NON-EVIDENTIARY CASES

#### A. WITHHOLD APPEALS

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board or investigated by Appeals Division staff. The Board will be presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer or Appeals Division staff for final decision on each appeal.

#### LESLIE ACOSTA, CASE NO. 04-0696

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

Issue: Suitability; omitted pertinent information and furnished inaccurate

information.

#### **HERBERT CANAYA, CASE NO. 04-0038**

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information.

#### ANGEL CASTRO, CASE NO. 04-0674

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; conviction record, negative driving record, furnished

inaccurate information during the selection process.

#### **AMY CHRISTOPHER, CASE NO. 04-0592**

Classification: Medical Technician Assistant

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; illegal drug use.

#### CUONG CHU, CASE NO. 04-0590

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; furnished inaccurate information and omitted pertinent information during the selection process, has an arrest/conviction record,

and has negative law enforcement contacts.

#### MARIO CORONA, CASE NO. 04-0333

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; furnished inaccurate information and omitted pertinent information during the selection process, has a negative driver's record,

and failed to comply with legal obligations.

#### **DEMARCO EMERY, CASE NO. 04-0046**

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information.

# LETICIA FUNEZ, CASE NO. 04-0218 Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; omitted pertinent information and negative employment

record.

#### **CHRISTOPHER MIDKIFF, CASE NO. 04-0305**

Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability; furnished inaccurate information and omitted pertinent information during the selection process, negative driver's record, and

failed to comply with legal obligations.

## JEROME PIRRO, CASE NO. 04-0454 Classification: Correctional Officer

**Department:** Corrections

**Issue:** Suitability, furnished inaccurate information and omitted pertinent information during the selection process and a negative military record.

#### B. MEDICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING APPEALS

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Panel comprised of a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board and a medical professional. The Board will be presented recommendations by a Hearing Panel on each appeal.

#### None

# C. EXAMINATION APPEALS MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS MERIT ISSUE COMPLAINTS

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board or investigated by Appeals Division staff. The Board will be presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer or Appeals Division staff for final decision on each appeal.

#### None

# D. RULE 211 APPEALS RULE 212 OUT OF CLASS APPEALS VOIDED APPOINTMENT APPEALS

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, or a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board. The Board will be presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer for final decision on each appeal.

None

#### E. <u>REQUEST TO FILE CHARGES CASES</u>

Investigated by Appeals Division staff. The Board will be presented recommendations by Appeals Division staff for final decision on each request.

#### None

#### PETITIONS FOR REHEARING CASES

#### LYNNELLE CASE - CASE NO. 02-0357P

**Department: Consumer Affairs** 

**Issue:** The appellant filed the Petition for Rehearing claiming factual findings were made or omitted in error.

#### F. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING CASES – No Hearing

Cases reviewed by Appeals Division staff, but no hearing was held. It is anticipated that the Board will act on these proposals without a hearing.

#### **TIMOTHY LOVATO - CASE NO. 05-0014**

Classification: Cadet, CHP

**Department:** California Highway Patrol

**Issue:** The appellant was initially psychologically disqualified for the

position.

#### 16. NON-HEARING CALENDAR

The following proposals are made to the State Personnel Board by either the Board staff or Department of Personnel Administration staff. It is anticipated that the Board will act on these proposals without a hearing.

Anyone with concerns or opposition to any of these proposals should submit a written notice to the Executive Officer clearly stating the nature of the concern or opposition. Such notice should explain how the issue in dispute is a merit

employment matter within the Board's scope of authority as set forth in the State Civil Service Act (Government Code section 18500 et seg.) and Article VII, California Constitution. Matters within the Board's scope of authority include, but are not limited to, personnel selection, employee status, discrimination and affirmative action. Matters outside the Board's scope of authority include, but are not limited to, compensation, employee benefits, position allocation, and organization structure. Such notice must be received not later than close of business on the Wednesday before the Board meeting at which the proposal is scheduled. Such notice from an exclusive bargaining representative will not be entertained after this deadline, provided the representative has received advance notice of the classification proposal pursuant to the applicable memorandum of understanding. In investigating matters outlined above, the Executive Officer shall act as the Board's authorized representative and recommend the Board either act on the proposals as submitted without a hearing or schedule the items for a hearing, including a staff recommendation on resolution of the merit issues in dispute.

a. The State Teachers' Retirement System (STRS) requests establishment of a new managerial class entitled Portfolio Manager, STRS, with a 12-month probationary period.

#### 17. STAFF CALENDAR ITEMS FOR BOARD INFORMATION

None

#### 18. CAREER EXECUTIVE ASSIGNMENT (CEA) CATEGORY ACTIVITY

This section of the Agenda serves to inform interested individuals and departments of proposed and approved CEA position actions.

The first section lists position actions that have been proposed and are currently under consideration.

Any parties having concerns with the merits of a proposed CEA position action should submit their concerns in writing to the Classification and Compensation

Division of the Department of Personnel Administration, the Merit Employment and Technical Resources Division of the State Personnel Board, and the department proposing the action.

To assure adequate time to consider objections to a CEA position action, issues should be presented immediately upon receipt of the State Personnel Board

Agenda in which the proposed position action is noticed as being under consideration, and generally no later than a week to ten days after its publication.

In cases where a merit issue has been raised regarding a proposed CEA position action and the dispute cannot be resolved, a hearing before the five-member Board may be scheduled. If no merit issues are raised regarding a proposed CEA position action, and it is approved by the State Personnel Board, the action becomes effective without further action by the Board.

The second section of this portion of the Agenda reports those position actions that have been approved. They are effective as of the date they were approved by the Executive Officer of the State Personnel Board.

## A. REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW CEA POSITIONS CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION

#### CHIEF ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER

The Prison Industry Authority proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Chief Assistant General Manager formulates and administers all planning, financial, personnel, marketing, and information systems policies and procedures department-wide in the central office location and for filed office staff located in 22 correctional institutions throughout the State of California.

# SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL CONSUMER PROTECTION AND FRAUD PREVENTION

The Department of Justice proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Special Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection and Fraud Prevention will develop and propose policy initiatives in such consumer-related subject areas as medical care and costs, health fraud, credit practices, automobile sales and financing, issues affecting the under represented, investment fraud and other emerging areas of consumer concern.

#### DEPUTY DIRECTOR COMPLIANCE DIVISION

The California Gambling Control Commission proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director Compliance Division administers the compliance activities, including the gaming devise testing laboratory and field-testing of the Commission, as well as oversees the fiduciary responsibilities associated with the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund, the Indian Gaming Special Distribution n Fund and tribal gaming revenues that are deposited into the General Fund.

#### **DEPUTY DIVISION CHIEF BENEFITS DIVISION**

The Department of Personnel Administration proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Division Chief Benefits Division has a significant role in the formulation and development of

policies with statewide impact regarding the State's benefit programs, and in developing and presenting proposals for collective bargaining.

B. EXECUTIVE OFFICER DECISIONS REGARDING REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW CEA POSITIONS

None

- 19. WRITTEN STAFF REPORT FOR BOARD INFORMATION
- 20. PRESENTATION OF EMERGENCY ITEMS AS NECESSARY

ADJOURNMENT

#### **SUBMITTED**

#### TEACHER STATE HOSPITAL (SEVERELY), ETC.

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

#### **VOCATIONAL INSTRUCTOR (SAFETY)(VARIOUS SPECIALTIES)**

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

#### **TELEVISION SPECIALIST (SAFETY)**

The Department of Corrections proposes to establish the new classification Television Specialist (Safety) by using the existing Television Specialist class specification and adding "Safety" as a parenthetical to recognize the public aspect of their job, additional language will be added to the Typical Tasks section of the class specification and a Special Physical Characteristics section will be added. (Presented to Board March 4, 2003.)

#### **HEARING - PSC #04-03**

Appeal of the California State Employees Association from the Executive Officer's April 15, 2004, Approval of Master Contracts between the California Department of Corrections and Staffing Solutions, CliniStaff, Inc., Staff USA, Inc., CareerStaff Unlimited, MSI International, Inc., Access Medical Staffing & Service, Drug Consultants, Infinity Quality Services Corporation, Licensed Medical Staffing, Inc., Morgan Management Services, Inc., Asereth Medical Services, and PrideStaff dba Rx Relief. (Hearing held August 12, 2004.)

#### **HEARING**

Proposed new and revised State Personnel Board Regulations effecting equal opportunity, discrimination complaints and reasonable accommodation policies and procedures. (Hearing held July 7, 2004.)

#### NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT CODE § 18671.1 RESOLUTION

Since Government Code section 18671.1 requires that cases pending before State

Personnel Board Administrative Law Judges (ALJ's) be completed within six months or no
later than 90 days after submission of a case, whichever is first, absent the publication of
substantial reasons for needing an additional 45 days, the Board hereby publishes its
substantial reasons for the need for the 45-day extension for some of the cases now
pending before it for decision.

An additional 45 days may be required in cases that require multiple days of hearings, that have been delayed by unusual circumstances, or that involve any delay generated by either party (including, but not limited to, submission of written briefs, requests for settlement conferences, continuances, discovery disputes, pre-hearing motions). In such cases, six months may be inadequate for the ALJ to hear the entire case, prepare a proposed decision containing the detailed factual and legal analysis required by law, and for the State Personnel Board to review the decision and adopt, modify or reject the proposed decision within the time limitations of the statute.

Therefore, at its next meeting, the Board will issue the attached resolution extending the time limitation by 45 days for all cases that meet the above criteria, and that have been before the Board for less than six months as of the date of the Board meeting.

#### **GOVERNMENT CODE § 18671.1 RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 provides that, absent waiver by the appellant, the time period in which the Board must render its decision on a petition pending before it shall not exceed six months from the date the petition was filed or 90 days from the date of submission; and

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 also provides for an extension of the time limitations by 45 additional days if the Board publishes substantial reasons for the need for the extension in its calendar prior to the conclusion of the six-month period; and

WHEREAS, the Agenda for the instant Board meeting included an item titled "Notice of Government Code § 18671.1 Resolution" which sets forth substantial reasons for utilizing that 45-day extension to extend the time to decide particular cases pending before the Board;

**WHEREAS**, there are currently pending before the Board cases that have required multiple days of hearing and/or that have been delayed by unusual circumstances or by acts or omissions of the parties themselves;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the time limitations set forth in Government Code section 18671.1 are hereby extended an additional 45 days for all cases that have required multiple days of hearing or that have been delayed by acts or omissions of the parties or by unusual circumstances and that have been pending before the Board for less than six months as of the date this resolution is adopted.

\* \* \* \* \*